#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

JEFFREY D. CARNEVALI,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. C05-345JLR
v. PALMETTO WEST TRADING COMPANY, LLC,	AMENDED ORDER SETTING TRIAL AND RELATED DATES
Defendant.	

TRIAL DATE		October 3, 2006	
Reports from expert witnesses regarding Markman issues due		December 30, 2005	
Rebuttal expert reports regarding Markman issues due		January 30, 2006	
Parties exchange proposed terms, claim elements and prelimina claim chart	ary	February 6, 2006	
Joint claim chart due		February 20, 2006	
Opening claim construction briefs due (24 pages per side)		March 6, 2006	
Responsive claim construction briefs due (24 pages per side)		March 20, 2006	
Markman hearing at 1:30 p.r	m. on	April 3, 2006	
Reports from expert witnesses under FRCP 26(a)(2) due		March 21, 2006	
Rebuttal expert reports due		April 22, 2006	
All motions related to discovery must be noted on the motion			
calendar no later than the Friday before discovery closes pursuant to CR7(d)(3) or CR37(a)(2)(B)	S		

1	Discovery completed by	June 1, 2006			
2	All dispositive motions must be filed by	July 7, 2006			
3	and noted on the motion calendar no later than the fourth Friday thereafter (see CR 7(d))				
4	Settlement conference per CR 39.1(c)(2) held no later than	August 2, 2006			
5	Mediation per CR 39.1(c)(3) held no later than	August 23, 2006			
6 7	All motions <i>in limine</i> must be filed by and noted on the motion calendar seven judicial days thereafter pursuant to CR7(d)(2)	September 4, 2006			
	Agreed pretrial order due	September 22, 2006			
8	Pretrial conference to be scheduled by the court				
9	Trial briefs, proposed voir dire questions, proposed jury instructions, and trial exhibits due	September 22, 2006			
11	Length of Jury Trial: 6 days				
12	These dates are set at the direction of the court after reviewing	hese dates are set at the direction of the court after reviewing the joint status report and			
13	discovery plan submitted by the parties. All other dates are specified	plan submitted by the parties. All other dates are specified in the Local Civil Rules.			
14	If any of the dates identified in this order or the Local Civil Rules fall	of the dates identified in this order or the Local Civil Rules fall on a weekend or federal			
15	holiday, the act or event shall be performed on the next business day.	day, the act or event shall be performed on the next business day. These are firm dates that be changed only by order of the court, not by agreement of counsel or the parties. The court alter these dates only upon good cause shown: failure to complete discovery within the time			
16	can be changed only by order of the court, not by agreement of counse				
17	will alter these dates only upon good cause shown: failure to complete				
18	allowed is not recognized as good cause.	owed is not recognized as good cause.			
19	If the Markman hearing or trial dates assigned to this matter cre	eate an irreconcilable			
20	conflict, counsel must notify Ms. Casey Condon, the deputy clerk, at 2	206-370-8520 within 10			
21	lays of the date of this order and must set forth the exact nature of the conflict. A failure to do				

reconcilable -8520 within 10 . A failure to do so will be deemed a waiver. Counsel must be prepared to begin trial on the date scheduled, but it should be understood that the trial may have to await the completion of other cases.

#### **CLAIM CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES**

The following procedures shall apply to all patent claim construction issues:

MINUTE ORDER SETTING TRIAL AND RELATED DATES - 2

22

23

24

25

26

# Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions

A party claiming patent infringement will serve on all parties a statement of the Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions, which will include the following information: (1) the identity of each claim of each patent alleged to be infringed; (2) the identity of the opposing party's accused device/method/etc. by specific name/model number/etc. for each claim asserted; (3) a chart that identifies specifically where each element of each asserted claim is found within each accused device/method/etc.; (4) whether each element is literally or equivalently infringed; and (5) the priority date to which each asserted claim allegedly is entitled, if priority is an issue.

#### **Preliminary Invalidity Contentions**

A party opposing a claim of infringement on the basis of invalidity shall serve on all parties a statement of its Preliminary Invalidity Contentions including: (1) the identity of prior art that allegedly anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious; (2) whether each piece of prior art anticipates or renders obvious the asserted claims; (3) a chart that identifies where in each piece of prior art each element of each asserted claim is found; and (4) any grounds for invalidity based on indefiniteness, enablement, or written description under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

### **Expert Reports**

If the parties wish to present expert testimony at the claim construction hearing, the parties will disclose expert reports related to claim construction by the date established in the Scheduling Order. Rebuttal expert reports will be exchanged 30 days later. These dates do not affect the more general expert report deadlines included in this Scheduling Order.

## Proposed Terms and Claim Elements and Preliminary Claim Chart

At some point prior to the formulation of the preliminary claim chart, the parties will exchange a list of Proposed Terms and Claim Elements, which will include each term that each party contends the court should construe. Each party will also identify any claim element that it

MINUTE ORDER SETTING
TRIAL AND RELATED DATES - 3

MINUTE ORDER SETTING TRIAL AND RELATED DATES - 4

contends should be governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6) as a means-plus-function element. The parties will then meet to identify terms in genuine dispute and facilitate the preparation of the Joint Claim Chart.

The parties will then exchange preliminary proposed constructions for each disputed claim term that the parties have collectively identified. Each party will also provide a preliminary identification of any extrinsic evidence, along with a copy of it, as well as a brief description of any witness' proposed testimony that supports its construction of the claim. The parties will then meet to narrow the issues and finalize the Joint Claim Chart and Prehearing Statement.

#### Joint Claim Chart and Prehearing Statement

All allegations of infringement and invalidity will be filed with the court in the form of a Prehearing Statement. After that time, the court will not consider new allegations of infringement or invalidity without the asserting party showing good cause. A Joint Claim Chart will also be filed, in the format provided in the Sample Joint Claim Chart found at the end of this order. This Chart will include each party's proposed construction of disputed terms, together with specific references to the relevant portions of the specification and the prosecution history, and descriptions of the extrinsic evidence to be used. The parties will attach to the Joint Claim Chart copies of all patents in dispute, together with the relevant prosecution history. These documents need not be resubmitted upon briefing. The parties will have the complete prosecution history available at the court's request. In addition, the parties will indicate whether any witnesses are to be called, and if so, their identities. For expert witnesses, the party calling the expert will provide a summary of the opinion to be offered.

The court expects the terms to be truly in dispute, and further expects that the preparation of the Preliminary and Joint Claim Charts will narrow the terms in dispute. A party is not allowed to propose a construction when the other party is unable to respond without leave of

1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1

court (e.g., in a response brief). If a party must propose a new construction, the Joint Claim Chart must be amended to reflect that change. At the time of the hearing, the Joint Claim Chart before the court must reflect the current proposed constructions.

**Tutorial and Claim Construction Hearing** 

The court or the parties can request that the court have a tutorial on the subject matter of the patent(s) at issue prior to the hearing. In those instances, the court will schedule a tutorial to occur two to four weeks prior to the hearing. The parties, in consultation with the court, will jointly agree to the format of the tutorial, including a summary and explanation of the subject matter at issue. The length of the tutorial will depend upon the subject matter. Visual aids and suggestions for reading material are encouraged.

The claim construction hearing will be set for one full trial day (5 hours). If more or less time is required, the parties are instructed to inform Ms. Casey Condon at 206-370-8520.

PLEASE NOTE: The court will <u>not</u> rule on dispositive motions that raise issues of claim construction prior to the hearing, unless special circumstances warrant and leave of court is obtained in advance of filing.

COOPERATION

As required by CR 37(a), all discovery matters are to be resolved by agreement if possible. Counsel are further directed to cooperate in preparing the Joint Claim Chart and final pretrial order in the format required by CR 16.1, except as ordered below.

**EXHIBITS** 

The original and one copy of any exhibits to be used at the <u>Markman</u> hearing and/or trial are to be delivered to chambers five days before the trial date. Each exhibit shall be clearly marked. Exhibit tags are available in the Clerk's Office. The Court hereby alters the CR 16.1 procedure for numbering exhibits: Plaintiff's exhibits shall be numbered consecutively beginning with 1; Defendant's exhibits shall be numbered consecutively beginning with 500.

Duplicate documents shall not be listed twice: once a party has identified an exhibit in the pretrial order, any party may use it. Each set of exhibits shall be submitted in a three-ring binder with appropriately numbered tabs.

#### **SETTLEMENT**

Should this case settle, counsel shall notify Ms. Casey Condon, the deputy clerk, at 206-370-8520, as soon as possible. Pursuant to GR 3(b), an attorney who fails to give the deputy clerk prompt notice of settlement may be subject to such discipline as the court deems appropriate.

Dated this 8th day of June, 2005.

JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge

m R. Plut

## **Sample Joint Claim Chart**

Claim Language (Disputed Terms in <b>Bold</b> )	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support	Defendant's Proposed Construction and Evidence in Support
'123 Patent		
1. A method for mending <b>fences</b>	fence	fence
[or]	Proposed Construction: A structure that keeps things out.	Proposed Construction: A structure that keeps things in.
fences	<u>Dictionary/Treatise Definitions:</u> Merriam-Webster Dictionary ("a barrier	<u>Dictionary/Treatise Definitions</u> : Random House Dictionary ("a barrier
Found in claim numbers:	intended to prevent intrusion").	enclosing or bordering a field, yard, etc. ").
'123 Patent: y, z '456 Patent: a, b	Intrinsic Evidence:  '123 Patent col _: ("keeps stray animals out"); Prosecution History at ("this method is more effective than the prior art in reinforcing the fence, and therefore in keeping out unwanted intruders").	Intrinsic Evidence: '123 Patent col _: ("keeps young children from leaving the yard "); Prosecution History at ("dilapidated fences meant to pen in cattle are particularly amenable to this method").
	Extrinsic Evidence: R. Frost Depo. at xx:xx ("Good fences make good neighbors"); '000 Patent at col _:; Vila Decl. at ¶	Extrinsic Evidence: C. Porter Depo. at xx:xx ("Don't fence me in"); '111 Patent at col _:; Thomas Decl. at ¶

(or similar format that provides side-by-side comparison)